

New Mexico - Tucumcari Field Office
FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: **12/14/2005**
 City/Zip: _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land ☒ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

1. Plants - 65 Potential Points (25% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After
Rangelands:	SI of 76-100 w/trend up or not apparent	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	65	
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	60	
Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	50	
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	40	
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	30	
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	20	
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ = _____	%	0	
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	N/A
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	N/A
1. Plants Total		100 %	Total	100 %	Total:	

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 170 Potential Points (65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans.		Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
Soil Erosion - Wind				
	Range or Pasture Planting (550-512)	10		
	Critical Area Treatment (342)	15		
Soil Erosion - Ephemeral Gully Concentrated Flow				
	Minor erosion control structures (362), (410)	10		
Water Quantity & Water Quality				
	Brush Control Riparian Areas (314)	10		
	Brush Control Heavy (314)	20		
	Brush Control Medium (314)	10		
	Brush Control Light (314)	5		
Plants Condition: Noxious and Invasive Plants				
	Brush Control Heavy (314)	30		
	Brush Control Medium (314)	25		
	Brush Control Light (314)	20		
Animals Domestic: Drinking Water				
	Brush Control (314)	5		
	Water Development (516), (614), (642), (378)	20		
Animals Domestic: Productivity, Health and Vigor				
	Brush Control (314)	5		
	Fence (382)	25		
Animals Wildlife: Inadequate Water				
	Brush Control (314)	5		
	Wildlife Water (648)	5		
Animals Wildlife: Inadequate Cover/Shelter				
	Brush Control (314)	5		
	Windbreak (380)	5		
Air and Water Quality Practices are addressed in the other resource areas				
2. Conservation Practice Selection		Total:		

NOTE: Any single type of conservation practice will be limited to \$50,000 in cost share per contract.